

Camera trapping in assessing diversity of mammals in Jabal Moussa Biosphere Reserve, Lebanon

MOUNIR ABI-SAID¹ & ZUHAIR S. AMR²

¹ Department of Biology, American University of Beirut, Lebanon. & Animal Encounter, Ras AlJabal, Aley, Lebanon. mabisaid9(at)gmail.com

² Department of Biology, Jordan University of Science & Technology, P.O. Box 3030, Irbid, Jordan. amrz(at)just.edu.jo.

Accepted on December 05, 2011.

Published online at www.vertebrate-zoology.de on April 05, 2012.

> Abstract

Thirteen species of mammals were documented using camera trapping in Jabal Moussa Biosphere Reserve in Lebanon. The presence of seven carnivores and most interestingly the Rock Hyrax, *Procapra capensis*, was confirmed. Data on annual activity were also included for all species reported.

> Key words

Lebanon, mammals, camera trapping, Insectivora, Carnivora, Hyracoidea, Jabal Moussa.

Introduction

The mammals of Lebanon were studied over the past five decades. The earliest detailed studies on the mammals of Lebanon were carried out by LEWIS & HARRISON (1962) on the bats, LEWIS *et al.* (1967) on the rodents and lagomorphs and LEWIS *et al.* (1968) on the carnivores, artiodactyls and Hyracoidea.

ATALLAH (1977 & 1978) included records from Lebanon, mainly reported by LEWIS *et al.* (1967 & 1968). Other publications included further records of the Lebanese mammals such as VON LEHMAN (1965) and TOHMÉ & TOHMÉ (1985). Recent studies addressed the conservation of large carnivores such as the Striped Hyena, *Hyaena hyaena* (ABI-SAID & MARROUCHE-ABI-SAID, 2007). All these studies were entirely based on cage traps and other traditional methods.

With current advances in sampling and recording mammalian species, non-conventional or non-invasive techniques have been developed, mainly to reduce disturbance, and have documentation for some trap-shy and rare species. Camera trapping proved to be a useful method for baseline data assessment as well as a measure for species richness. Camera traps have been very successful and widely used in wildlife ecology, inventory, population dynamics, species

richness, population density, habitat use, activity pattern, behavioral ecology and even studies on animal damage (CARTHEW & SLATER, 1991, CUTLER & SWANN, 1999, VARMA *et al.*, 2006; LYRA-JORGE *et al.*, 2008; MAFFEI *et al.*, 2007; ARISPE *et al.*, 2008; TOBLER *et al.*, 2009; MARNEWICK *et al.*, 2008; TROLLE & KERY, 2005; RIOS-UZEDA *et al.*, 2007; ROWCLIFFE *et al.*, 2008) which are important information for evaluation of conservation efforts (BALME *et al.*, 2009; MCCARTHY *et al.*, 2008). Besides, camera trapping is very beneficial in inventorying mammal in various habitat (KINNAIRD *et al.*, 2003; SILVEIRA *et al.*, 2003; YASUDA, 2004; TOBLER *et al.* 2008), can be implemented in various climatic conditions and can give crucial informative data on secretive species that else will be difficult to obtain using other field techniques (ROWCLIFFE *et al.*, 2008).

The first study to employ non-invasive methods to study the Lebanese mammals was carried out by NADER *et al.* (2011). They conducted a rapid assessment of mammalian richness in Ehden Nature Reserve using three non-invasive techniques based on the transect-quadrat survey scheme (droppings, foot prints and photo). They recorded 12 medium and large sized species.

The present study is the first attempt to document the mammalian fauna of Jabal Moussa Biosphere Reserve in Lebanon, employing camera trap method.

Materials and Methods

Study site

Jabal Moussa Biosphere Reserve (JMBR) is located in Keserwan-Jbeil area of Lebanon. It covers an area of 6500 with a core area of 1250 ha. JMBR is characterized by its different topography and elevations ranging from 350 m above sea level (asl) in the west to 1700 m asl in the east. JMBR is a typical Mediterranean scrubland characterized by its untouched steep and fertile mountain sides. Vegetation cover is relatively dense mainly by *Juniperus drupacea*, *Pinus brutia*, *Quercus calliprinos*, *Quercus cerris* and *Quercus infectoria*.

Camera Traps

Twelve digital pre-baited active and passive remote camera traps (Bushnell TrailScout Pro 2.1mp), triggered by both heat and motion, were set from March 8, 2008 to May 24, 2009 a total of 443 days (10608 hours). The cameras were tied to a tree 40–60 cm above the ground. The cameras were programmed to shoot photographs for 24hours/day, with a 2-minute interval between photos, and to record date and time on each photograph. Power was supplied by 4 alkaline D batteries which lasted approximately one month. The bait consisted of butchery leftovers, apples, carrots and corn seeds. Sites chosen to install the camera traps were selected randomly to cover the whole reserve and marked using Global Positioning System (GPS). Broken or stolen cameras were replaced. Each site was visited twice weekly at the first two months, to check on the suitability of the selected site and to verify that the cameras are working normally, to check on the bait and add on the bait when needed besides down loading the photos, and later once per week. Photos from the camera traps were downloaded on a laptop in the field on weekly bases. The identification of small mammals like rodents was difficult when based solely on photographs.

Data analysis

Photos taken by the camera traps were sorted and empty frames and such including humans, birds were eliminated to obtain suitable data for analysis. Moreover,

data analysis from camera trapping data are often difficult to interpret when a series of photographs portray the same species which leads to issue of self-dependence and inappropriateness for statistical analysis (O'BREIN *et al.*, 2003; YASUDA, 2004). Hence to minimize this self-dependence is to consider a series of photographs of the same species taken within a certain period of time as single event (OTANI, 2001; O'BRIEN *et al.*, 2003). In this study, we treated a picture as indicating a single visit by a given species if that picture was taken >30 minutes after the previous picture of that species (O'BREIN *et al.*, 2003, YASUDA, 2004, BOWKETT *et al.*, 2007). Moreover this study aimed to investigate the presence of mammal species and factors affecting their conservation. Hence the data that were obtained from camera traps were used to answer the following questions: 1) species diversity, 2) species richness, and 3) seasonal differences.

Results

The camera traps revealed the richness of Jabal Moussa Biosphere Reserve in mammal diversity which could be correlated to the richness of flora species and the heterogeneity of the landscape. Thirteen species of mammals belonging to five orders and 12 families were discovered, identified and documented. In addition to wild mammals, domestic mammals like goats, dogs and cats were also photographed (Table 1).

The Southern White-breasted Hedgehog, *Erinaceus concolor*, was the only extant species of the order Insectivora that was encountered in Jabal Moussa. It was photographed in 21 incidences, representing 0.51% of all photos by the camera trap (Fig. 1A). It was more common during summer (15 encounters out of 21) and with no activities during winter.

The carnivores were represented by seven species, accounting for more than half of the recorded species. Order Carnivora is represented in Jabal Moussa by four families (Canidae, Felidae, Hyaenidae, and Mustelidae). The Red Fox *Vulpus vulpus*, was the second most common species (Fig. 2B). It was most active during the spring (49.8%), with more or less similar activity pattern during the rest of the seasons (Table 1). On the other hand, wolves were the least photographed (0.68%). A lactating female was photographed for two consecutive years (Fig. 2A), while a couple was photographed in two incidences.

The Stone Martin, *Martes foina*, was the most photographed species (667 frames) accounting for 16.2% of the total photographs (Fig. 2C). It was most active during the summer (34.2%) and spring (29.5%), declined in the fall and recovered during the winter

Table 1. Species captured by camera traps, number of photos, percentage of the total incidences, and percent of photos per season

Scientific name	Location	No. of photos	% of total photos	Number and percentage of photos per season							
				Spring		Summer		Fall		Winter	
				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Order Insectivora											
<i>Erinaceus concolor</i>	Oak forest	21	0.51	2	9.5	15	71.4	4	19.0	0	0.0
Order Carnivora											
<i>Canis lupus</i>	Oak forest	28	0.68	11	39.3	3	10.7	0	0.0	14	50.0
<i>Vulpus vulpus</i>	All over the reserve	647	15.80	322	49.8	99	15.3	120	18.5	106	16.4
<i>Martes foina</i>	All over the reserve	667	16.28	197	29.5	228	34.2	87	13.0	155	23.2
<i>Mustela nivalis</i>	Open rocky area	3	0.07	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
<i>Meles meles</i>	Oak forest	2	0.05	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
<i>Hyaena hyaena</i>	All over the reserve	220	5.37	119	54.1	26	11.8	41	18.6	34	15.5
<i>Felis silvestris</i>	Oak forest	21	0.51	8	38.1	7	33.3	3	14.3	3	14.3
Order Hyracoidea											
<i>Procavia capensis</i>	Open rocky area	22	0.54	3	13.6	18	81.8	1	4.5	0	0.0
Order Artiodactyla											
<i>Sus scrofa</i>	All over the reserve	199	4.86	51	25.6	120	60.3	27	13.6	1	0.5
Order Rodentia											
<i>Sciurus anomalus</i>	All over the reserve	105	2.56	26	24.8	45	42.9	33	31.4	1	1.0
<i>Hystrix indica</i>	All over the reserve	1619	39.53	468	28.9	394	24.3	478	29.5	279	17.2
<i>Apodemus mystacinus</i>	All over the reserve	542	13.23	273	50.4	154	28.4	73	13.5	42	7.7
Total		4096	100	1482		1112		867		635	

**Fig. 1.** A. The Southern White-breasted Hedgehog, *Erinaceus concolor*. B. A colony of the Rock Hyrax, *Procavia capensis* in JMBR. C. The Persian Squirrel, *Sciurus anomalus*. D. The Indian Porcupine, *Hystrix indica*.



Fig. 2. A. The Wolf, *Canis lupus*. B. The Red Fox, *Vulpus vulpus*. C. The Stone Martin, *Martes foina*. D. Least Weasel, *Mustela nivalis*. E. The European Badger, *Meles meles*. F. A couple of striped hyenas caught by the camera before sunset in JMBR. Least weasel is very difficult to spot in print. [This is the best photo that the weasel could be clearly seen since it was only photo trapped 3 times.]

(Table 1). The Least Weasel, *Mustela nivalis* and the European Badger, *Meles meles*, were least photographed, 0.07% and 0.05% of all photos respectively (Fig. 2D and 2E). Both species showed activity during spring and summer, with virtually no signs in fall and winter.

The Striped Hyena, *Hyaena hyaena*, was the third common carnivore during this study. It was recorded in 220 incidences representing (5.4%), also it was

photographed before sunset (10%). In one incidence, a couple was photographed (Fig. 2F). The Striped Hyena was most active during spring, with declining activity thereafter. The Wild Cat, *Felis silvestris tristrami*, was among the least common carnivores. It was photo-trapped 21 times (Table 1).

Surprisingly, a viable population of the Rock Hyrax, *Procapra capensis*, inhabits the reserve. A total of 22 photos were recorded by the camera traps that were

installed at the steep rocky mountain in the reserve (Table 1). Moreover, in 41% of the incidences small colonies ranging between 3–9 individuals were photographed (Fig. 1B). In one occasion, four adults and four juvenile were photographed in the first week of July.

Even though 199 photos representing 4.8% of all photos were taken of the Wild Boar, *Sus scrofa*, these photos were taken of males and probably even the same two males, with none of the photos showing any female.

Order Rodentia was represented by three families: Sciuridae, Hystricidae and Muridae and three species (Table 1). One hundred and five photos were taken of the Persian Squirrel, *Sciurus anomalus*, 33% with more than one individual in the same photo. The Persian Squirrel was active all year round except in winter, with peak activity during summer (Table 1). The Indian Porcupine, *Hystrix indica*, was the most photographed rodent. A total of 1619 photos were taken representing 39.3% of the total frames. Porcupines were photographed in the camera traps all year round with varying incidences. More than one individual (28% two individuals and 1.6% with three or more individuals) in the same photo were recorded. Moreover, the Broad-toothed Field Mouse, *Apodemus mystacinus*, was photographed in 542 incidences (15.7%) of all photos, and in 5.3% of the incidences between 2–3 individual were observed in the same photo.

Discussion

The present study reveals the mammalian diversity in this poorly known protected area in Lebanon. Five species of carnivores has been reported in the present study. In addition, the presence of the Hyrax adds more to the geographical range of this noteworthy species.

In a hard and difficult terrain like Jabal Moussa Biosphere Reserve the camera traps proved their effectiveness in detecting, documenting and surveying mammals. This study added to the effectiveness of camera trapping in different landscape as it was documented in several surveys on mammals (ROVERO & MARSHALL, 2009; TROLLE & KERRY, 2005; JIMÉNEZ *et al.*, 2010; TREVES *et al.*, 2010). For instance, the hedgehogs *Erinaceus concolor* were photographed 21 times in the reserve at an elevation above 1500m asl could be missed in transects surveys.

The Red Fox, *Vulpes vulpes*, and the Stone Marten, *Martes foina*, are very common in Lebanon (HARRISON & BATES 1991; LEWIS *et al.*, 1968; TOHMÉ & TOHMÉ, 1985). The camera traps results confirmed their abundance. Their widespread status and relatively high

populations could be attributed to the absence of large carnivores to compete with. ELMHAGEN *et al.* (2010) and JOHNSON (2010) stated that the re-colonization by Lynx (*Lynx lynx*) and subsequent increase in its population has suppressed the Red Fox population. But the spread of garbage dumps provide food all year round that affected the Red Fox population positively as has been shown for Poland (GOLDYN *et al.*, 2003) and Palestine (BINO *et al.*, 2010).

Mustela nivalis is a secretive animal with few records in Lebanon. This weasel is heavily persecuted by farmers and became at the verge of local extinction. It is hardly possible to detect this species with other means than camera traps. The low number of photos of this weasel reflects its rarity. This species was known from a specimen collected from Kammouha at an altitude of 1385 m (LEWIS *et al.*, 1961) and another from Sanine Mountain photographed by Dr. R. SADEK from the American University of Beirut in the 1996. Hence, this is an additional new record for the species distribution in Lebanon.

During the rapid survey in 2007, local informants in Jabal Moussa region claimed that Striped Hyena appeared in high numbers. Camera traps confirmed the locals' claim. The Striped Hyena is very abundant in JMBR and was photographed on a monthly bases by all camera traps. However, this species is fairly abundant in Lebanon (ABI-SAID & MARROUCHE-ABI-SAID, 2007; TOHMÉ & TOHMÉ, 1985). In addition camera traps provided additional information on the ecological behavior of Striped Hyena. It was reported that members of feeding groups are larger than one member in the same group, most likely a mother with her offspring roam together (MACDONALD, 1978; RIEGER, 1979; BOUSKILA, 1984). A photo by the camera traps showed a couple of Striped Hyaena *Hyaena hyaena*, male and a female that were distinguished by head size roaming together in the reserve.

ROVERO & MARSHALL (2009) concluded that the use of camera traps is promising as an index for species abundance; since as density increase the chances of more encounters of photos between individuals and cameras would be expected to increase. In this study, the importance of camera trapping in revealing the richness, abundance and distribution of mammals in JMBR is well documented. Several photos from different locations showed more than two porcupines, squirrels, or rock hyraxes in one frame each. Similar observations were recorded by camera traps on the extended range of Jackson's Mongoose (*Bdeogale jacksoni*) in Tanzania (DE LUCA & ROVERO, 2006).

Foxes, stone martens and striped hyaenas were active before darkness. It was reported by LUCHERINI *et al.* (1995) that foxes were strongly nocturnal in high human activity areas. In addition, finding on striped hyaenas in Lebanon revealed that they were not ac-

tive before darkness in rural and urban sites due to human disturbance (ABI-SAID, 2006). Furthermore, the presence of a pregnant female wolf and later with her cubs in JMBR during day time reflects the undisturbed status, and the peaceful environment in the reserve. A large scale disturbance gradient in southern Africa had affected the number and distribution of large and medium herbivore (WALLGREN *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, BENNETTE (2009) reported that in less disturbed areas by humans, the Yellow-headed Black Bird (*Xanthocephalus xanthocephalus*) and the Barbastelle Bat (*Barbastella barbastellus*) had better successful breeding areas. In contrast to the prevailing situation of the abundance of wild boar in Lebanon, from the photos taken for wild boars which were probably from a male revealed that the reserve is not overpopulated with wild boars most likely due to the presence of their predator. This reflects the healthy status of the mammals in the reserve. Hence, camera trapping could be a useful tool in assessing habitat disturbance and the status of its biodiversity.

The presence of domestic dogs and cats could have a negative effect due to hybridization with domestic species (O'BRIEN *et al.*, 2009; RANDI, 2008; YOUNG *et al.*, 2011) or affecting wildlife populations and has to be managed in particular from the conservation point of view. The effect of domestic dogs on wildlife communities was described by LENTH *et al.* (2008) who gave some management recommendation for natural areas. In addition, GALETTI & SAZIMA (2006) showed the great impact of feral dogs on wildlife in the Atlantic forest. Moreover, feral cats have a strong impact on predation of birds and were the main cause of bird decline in several areas worldwide (READ & BOWEN, 2001; NOGALES *et al.*, 2004). Furthermore, feral cats and dogs carry infectious diseases such as rabies and toxoplasmosis (DEEM *et al.*, 2001; SCHOEGEL *et al.*, 2005)

The biodiversity of JMBR faces several threats due to logging, quarries, forest fires, hunting and animal poisoning. Shepherds practice poisoning to kill predators that attack their domestic animals. An accident happened during the survey where poisonous baits were spread in different parts of the reserve that might have affected some carnivores. Disturbance by visitors, camping, camp fires, music, singing etc., can also affect the wild animals in JMBR. This was evident during the Cross Holiday. Cameras were put around the camping areas beside the Cross two days before the holiday and left for three weeks after. No animals were photographed in these places even though a lot were photographed before the holiday.

Disturbance in the reserve is still minimal as this occasion happens only once a year, besides the difficult landscape and topography of the reserve make accessibility very difficult.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by The Association for Protection of Jabal Moussa. I would like to thank the rangers of Jabal Moussa Biosphere Reserve who devoted their time and effort during this study and D. MARROUCHE ABI-SAID for reviewing this work.

References

- ABI-SAID, M.R. & MARROUCHE-ABI-SAID, D. (2007): Distribution of Striped Hyaena (*Hyaena hyaena syriaca* Matius, 1882) (Carnivora: Hyaenidae) in urban and rural areas of Lebanon. – *Zoology of the Middle East*, **42**: 3–14.
- ABI-SAID, M.R. (2006): Reviled as a grave-robber: The ecology and conservation of striped hyaenas in the human-dominated landscapes of Lebanon. – Ph. D. thesis. University of Kent, Canterbury, UK.
- ARISPE, R., VENEGAS, C. & RUMIZ, D. (2008): Abundancia y patrones de actividad del mapache (*Procyon cancrivorus*) en un bosque Chiquitano de Bolivia. – *Mastozoología Neotropical*, **15**: 323–333.
- ATALLAH, S.I. (1977): Mammals of the Eastern Mediterranean: their ecology, systematics and zoogeographical relationships. – *Säugetierkundliche Mitteilungen*, **25**: 241–320.
- ATALLAH, S.I. (1978): Mammals of the Eastern Mediterranean Region; their Ecology, Systematics and Zoogeographical Relationships. – *Säugetierkundliche Mitteilungen*, **26**: 1–50.
- BALME, G., HUNTER, L.T.B. & SLOTOW, R. (2009): Evaluation methods for counting cryptic carnivores. – *Journal of Wildlife Management*, **73**: 431–443.
- BENNETT, V., BEARD, M., ZOLLNER, P.A., FERNANDEZ-JURICIC, E., WESTPHAL, L. & LEBLANC, C.L. (2009): Understanding wildlife responses to human disturbance through simulation modeling: A management tool. – *Ecological Complexity*, **6**: 113–134.
- BINO, G., DOLEV, A., YOSHA, D., GUTER, A., KING, R., SALTZ, D. & KARK, S. (2010): Abrupt spatial and numerical responses of over abundant foxes to a reduction in anthropogenic resources. – *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **47**: 1262–1271.
- BOUSKILA, Y. (1984): A closer look at the striped hyaena. – *Israel Land and Nature*, **10**: 50–56.
- BOWKETT, A.E., ROVERO, F. & MARSHALL, A.R. (2007): The use of camera trap data to model habitat use by antelope species in the Udzungwa Mountain forest, Tanzania. – *African Journal of Ecology*, **46**: 479–487.
- CARTHREW, S. M. & SLATER, E. (1991): Monitoring animal activity with automated photography. – *Journal of Wildlife Management*, **55**: 689–692.
- CUTLER, T.L. & SWANN, D.E. (1999): Using remote photography in wildlife ecology: a review. – *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, **27**: 571–581.

- DAHMER, T. D. (2001): Feral dogs and civet mortality on Kau Sai Chau, Sai Kung. – *Porcupine*, **24**: 16–18.
- DEEM, S.L., KARESH, W.B. & WEISMAN, W. (2001): Putting theory into practice: Wildlife health in conservation. – *Conservation Biology*, **15**: 1224–1233.
- DE LUCA, D.W. & ROVERO, F. (2006): First record in Tanzania of the vulnerable jackson's mongoose *Bdeogale jacksoni* (herpestidae). – *Oryx*, **40**: 468–471.
- ELMHAGEN, B., LUDWIG, G., RUSHTON, S.P., HELLE, P. & LINDEN, H. (2010): Top predators, mesopredator and their prey: interference ecosystem along bioclimatic productivity gradients. – *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **79**: 785–794.
- GALETTI, M. & I. SAZIMA. 2006. Impact of feral dogs in an urban Atlantic forest fragment in southeastern Brazil. – *Natureza & Conservação*, **4**(1): 146–151
- GOLDYN, B., HROMADA, M., SURMACHI, A. & TRYJANOWSKI, P. (2003): Habitat use and diet of the red fox *Vulpes vulpes* in an agricultural landscape in Poland. – *Zeitschrift für Jagdwissenschaft*, **49**: 191–200.
- HARRISON, C. & BATES, P. (1991): Mammals of Arabia. – *Harrisson Zoology Museum*, Kent, England.
- JIMÉNEZ, C.F., QUINTANA, H. PACHECO, V. MELTON, D. TORREALVA, J. & TELLO, G. (2010): Camera trap survey of medium and large mammals in montane rainforest of northern Peru. – *Revista Peruana Biología*, **17**: 191–196.
- JOHNSON, C.N. (2010): Red in tooth and claw: how top predators shape terrestrial ecosystem. – *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **79**: 723–725.
- KINNAIRD, M.F., SANDERSON, E.W., O'BREIN, T.G., WIBISONO, H.T. & WOOLMER, G. (2003): Deforestation trends in tropical landscape and implications for endangered large mammals. – *Conservation Biology*, **17**: 245–257.
- LENTH, B.E., KNIGHT, R.L. & BRENNAN, M.E. (2008): The effect of dogs on wildlife communities. – *Natural Area Journal*, **28**: 218–227.
- LEWIS, R.E. & HARRISON, D.L. (1962): Notes on bats from the Republic of Lebanon. – *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, **138**: 473–486.
- LEWIS, R.E., LEWIS, J.H. & ATALLAH, S.I. (1968): A review of Lebanese mammals. Carnivora, Pinnipedia, Hyracoidea and Artiodactyla. – *Journal of Zoology, London*, **154**: 517–531.
- LEWIS, R.E., LEWIS, J.H. & ATALLAH, S.I. (1967): A review of Lebanese Mammals. Lagomorpha and Rodentia. – *Journal of Zoology, London*, **153**: 45–70.
- LUCHERINI, M., LOVARI, S. & CREMA, G. (1995): Habitat use and ranging behaviour of the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) in a Mediterranean rural area: is shelter availability a key factor? – *Journal of Zoology*, **237**: 577–591.
- LYRA-JORGE, M.C., CIOCHETI, G., PIVELLO, V.R. & MEIRELLES, S.T. (2008): Comparing methods for sampling large- and medium-sized mammals: camera traps and track plots. – *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, **54**: 739–744.
- MACDONALD, D.W. (1978): Observation on the behavior and ecology of the striped hyaena, *Hyaena hyaena syriaca*, in Israel. – *Israel Journal of Zoology*, **27**: 189–198.
- MAFFEI, L., NOSS, A.J. & FIORELLO, C. (2007): The jaguarondi (*Puma yagouaroundi*) In the kaa-iyá del gran chaco national park, Santa Cruz, Bolivia. – *Mastozoología Neotropical*, **14**: 263–266.
- MARNEWICK, K., FUNSTON, P. & KARANATH, U. (2008): Camera trapping as a method for estimating cheetah abundance. – *South African Journal of Wildlife Research*, **38**: 59–65.
- MCCARTHY, K.P., FULLER, T.K., MING, M., MCCARTHY, T.M., WAITS, L. & JUMABAEV, K. (2008): Assessing estimators of snow leopard abundance. – *Journal of Wildlife Management*, **72**: 1826–1833.
- NADER, M.R., EL INDARY, S., ABI SALLOUM, B. & ABOU DAGHER, M. (2011): Combining non-invasive methods for the rapid assessment of mammalian richness in a transect quadrat survey scheme – Case Study of the Horsh Ehden Nature Reserve, North Lebanon. – *ZooKeys*, **119**: 63–71.
- NOGALES, M., MARTIN, A., TERSHY, B.R., DONLAN, C.J., VEITCH, D., PUERTA, N., WOOD, B. & ALONSO, J. (2004): A review of feral cat eradication on islands. – *Conservation Biology*, **18**: 310–319.
- O'BREIN, T., GKINNARID, M.F. & WIBISONO, H.T. (2003): Crouching tigers, hidden prey: Sumatran tiger and prey populations in a tropical forest landscape. – *Animal Conservation*, **6**: 131–139.
- O'BRIEN, J., DEVILLARD, S., SAY, L., VANTHOMME, H., LÉGER, F., RUETTE, S. & PONTIER, D. (2009): Preserving genetic integrity in a hybridising world: are European Wildcats (*Felis silvestris silvestris*) in eastern France distinct from sympatric feral domestic cats? – *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **18**(9): 2351–2360.
- OTANI, T. (2001): Measuring fig foraging frequency of the Yakushima macaque by using automatic cameras. – *Ecological Research*, **16**: 49–54.
- RANDI, E. 2008. Detecting hybridization between wild species and their domesticated relatives. – *Molecular Biology*, **17**: 285–293.
- READ, J. & BOWEN, Z. (2001): Population dynamics, diet and aspects of the biology of feral cats and foxes in arid South Australia. – *Wildlife Research*, **28**: 195–203
- RIEGER, I. (1979): A review of the biology of striped hyaenas, *Hyaena hyaena* (Linne, 1758). – *Säugetierkundliche Mitteilungen*, **27**: 81–95.
- RIOS-UZEDA, B., GOMEZ, H. & WALLACE, R. B. (2007): A preliminary density estimates for Andean bear using camera-trapping methods. – *Ursus*, **18**: 124–128.
- ROVERO, F. & MARSHALL, A.R. (2009): Camera trapping photographic rate as an index of density in forest ungulates. – *Journal of applied Ecology*, **46**: 1011–1017.
- ROWCLIFFE, J.M., FIELD, J., TURVEY S.T. & CARBONE, C. (2008): Estimating animal density using camera traps without the need for individual recognition. – *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **45**: 1228–1236.
- SCHLOEGEL, L.M., DASZAK, P. & NAVA, A. (2005): Medicina da conservação: buscando causas e soluções práticas para doenças infecciosas emergentes. – *Natureza & Conservação*, **3**: 29–41.

- SILVEIRA, L., JACOMO, A.T.A. & DINIZ, J.A.F. (2003): Camera trap, line transect census and track surveys: a comparative evaluation. – *Biological Conservation*, **114**: 351–355.
- TOBLER, M.W., CARRILLO-PERCASTEGUI, S.E. & POWELL, G. (2009): Habitat use, activity patterns and use of mineral licks by five species of ungulates in south-eastern Peru. – *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **25**(3): 261–270.
- TOBLER, M.W., CARRILLO-PERCASTEGUI, S.E., LEITI PITMAN, R., MARES, R. & POWELL, G. (2008): An evaluation of camera traps for inventorying large- and medium-sized terrestrial rainforest mammals. – *Animal Conservation*, **11**: 169–178.
- TOHMÉ, G. & TOHMÉ, H. (1985): *Les Mammifères Sauvages Du Liban*. – Publications de l'Université Libanaise, Beirut, Lebanon.
- TREVES, A., MWIMA, P., PLUMPTRE, A.J. & ISOKE, S. (2010): Camera-trapping forest-woodland wildlife of western Uganda reveals how gregarious biases estimates of relative abundance and distribution. – *Biological Conservation*, **143**: 521–528.
- TROLLE, M. & KERRY, M. (2005): Camera-trap study of ocelot and other secretive mammals in the northern Pantanal. – *Mammalia*, **69**: 405–412.
- VARMA, S., PITTET, A. & JAMADAGNI, H.S. (2006): Experimenting usage of camera-traps for population dynamics study of the Asian elephant *Elephas maximus* in southern India. – *Current Science*, **91**: 324–331.
- VON LEHMANN, E. (1965): Über die Säugetiere im Waldgebiet NW-Syriens. – *Sitzungsberichte der Gesellschaft der Naturforschenden Freunde (Neue Folge)*, **5**: 22–38.
- WALLGREN, M., SKARPE, C., BERGSTROM, R., DANELL, K., GRANLUND, L. & BERGSTROM, A. (2009): Mammal community structure in relation to disturbance and resource gradients in southern Africa. – *African Journal of Ecology*, **47**: 20–31.
- YASUDA, M. (2004): Monitoring diversity and abundance of mammals with camera traps: a case study on Mount Tsukuba, central Japan. – *Mammal Study*, **29**: 37–46.
- YOUNG, J.K., OLSON, K. A., READING, R. P., AMGALANBAATAR, S. & BERGER, J. (2011): Is wildlife going to the dogs? Impact of feral and free-roaming dogs on wildlife populations. – *BioScience*, **61**: 125–132.